

# SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

## REGULAR MEETING ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL

*Thursday, February 25, 2016, 3:30 P.M.*

PROBATION DEPARTMENT  
Training Room 5  
1001 S. Grand Ave.  
Santa Ana, California

**STEVE SENTMAN, Chair**  
Probation

**TODD ELGIN**  
Local Law Enforcement

**JEFFREY HALLOCK**  
Sheriff-Coroner

**RICK MARTIN**  
Education Representative

**KAREN RUAN**  
At Large Community Representative

**TODD SPITZER**  
Orange County Board of Supervisors

**VACANT**  
Community Based Drug & Alcohol Rep.

**KELLI BELTRAN**  
Juvenile Court Representative

**MARY HALE**  
Health Care Agency, Mental Health

**CAROL HENSON**  
District Attorney

**MICHAEL PEREZ**  
Public Defender

**MIKE RYAN**  
Social Services Agency

**VACANT**  
Community Based Organization Rep.

**VACANT**  
Business Representative

ATTENDANCE: Members Beltran, Elgin, Hallock, Henson, Martin, Perez, Ruan, Sentman, Aquin (Alternate for Ryan, O'Brien (Alternate for Hale) and Steel (Alternate for Spitzer)

EXCUSED: Members Hale, Ryan and Spitzer

CLERK OF THE COUNCIL: Jamie Ross & Dora Guillen, Deputy Clerks

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 7)

1. Welcome and Introductions

**PRESENTED**

## SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

2. Receive and file FY 14/15 Outcome Summary Report  
C.O. **RECEIVED**
3. Discussion and approval of draft allocation plan for FY 16/17 Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funding  
2 14 13456789 10 11 12 13 **APPROVED AS RECOMMENDED**  
x x x
4. Authorize Probation Department to make program budget adjustments at fiscal year-end to minimize Department subsidies  
5213456789 10 11 12 13 14 **APPROVED AS RECOMMENDED**  
x x x
5. Discussion and approval to revise funding allocation for Truancy Response Program  
4 13 12356789 10 11 12 14 **APPROVED AS RECOMMENDED**  
x x x
6. Discussion and approval of nomination of Hether Benjamin to fill Community Based Organization Representative vacancy; and direct staff to file agenda item for Board of Supervisors approval  
2 14 13456789 10 11 12 13 **APPROVED AS RECOMMENDED**  
x x x
7. Discussion and approval of next meeting  
C.O. **NO ACTION TAKEN; CHAIR TO CALL SPECIAL MEETING WHEN NEEDED,**

### **PUBLIC & COUNCIL COMMENTS:**

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:** None

**COUNCIL COMMENTS:**

Chair Sentman – Apologized for lack of parking today due to trainings being held at facility.

**ADJOURNED:** 3:48 P.M.

# SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

\*\*\* KEY \*\*\*

## *Left Margin Notes*

- |                               |                      |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 Kelli Beltran               | A = Abstained        |
| 2 Todd Elgin                  | X = Excused          |
| 3 Mary Hale                   |                      |
| 4 Carol Henson                | N = No               |
| 5 Rick Martin                 | C.O. = Council Order |
| 6 Michael Perez               |                      |
| 7 Bob Peterson                |                      |
| 8 Karen Ruan                  |                      |
| 9 Mike Ryan                   |                      |
| 10 Steve Sentman              |                      |
| 11 Todd Spitzer               |                      |
| 12 Wendy Aquin (Alternate)    |                      |
| 13 Brett O'Brien (Alternate)  |                      |
| 14 Michelle Steel (Alternate) |                      |

*(1st number = Moved by; 2nd number = Seconded by)*

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
*STEVE SENTMAN*  
*Chair*

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
*Jamie Ross*  
*Clerk of the Council*

# Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA)

## Fiscal Year 2012-13 to Fiscal Year 2014-15

### Table of Contents


JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA) PROGRAM NOTES .....	1
TOTAL PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS .....	2
AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME IN PROGRAM.....	3
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES .....	4
ARREST RATE:	
Program Group .....	5
Program Group Vs. Reference Group .....	6
INCARCERATION RATE:	
Program Group .....	10
Program Group Vs. Reference Group .....	11
RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION:	
Program Group .....	15
Program Group Vs. Reference Group .....	16
RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE:	
Program Group .....	19
Program Group Vs. Reference Group .....	20
PROBATION VIOLATION RATE:	
Program Group .....	22
Program Group Vs. Reference Group .....	23
COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE:	
Program Group .....	26
Program Group Vs. Reference Group .....	27
NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE:	
Program Group .....	30
Program Group Vs. Reference Group .....	31

## **JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA) PROGRAMS**

- Addiction, Substance Abuse Education and Recognition Treatment (**ASERT**)
- Central Youth Reporting Center (**CYRC**)
- Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention Program (**DCI**)
- Juvenile Drug Court (**JDC**)
- North Youth Reporting Center (**NYRC**)
- Sobriety Through Education and Prevention (**STEP**) – Programming for Girls
- School Mobile Response Team (**SMART**)
- Truancy Response Program (**TRP**)


## TOTAL PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

- From FY1213 to FY1415, the DCI program had a notably larger number of participants than the other JJCPA programs, with an average of 228 participants across the 3-year period
- With the exception of the STEP program, participant number dropped for all JJCPA programs from FY1213 to FY1415
- With 69 current participants, the JDC program is the smallest program in comparison to the other JJCPA programs, followed by the STEP program with a FY1415 total of 111
- ASERT and SMART averaged about 250 participants between FY1213 and FY1415, while NYRC and CYRC had slightly higher averages of 350




## AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME IN PROGRAM

- From FY1213 to FY1415, JDC participants had the highest average length of time in a program—almost 7 months
- The TRP and DCI programs each spanned about 4 to 5 months, while the ASERT and STEP programs averaged 3 months
- In contrast, the average program length for the SMART, NYRC and CYRC programs ranged from 1 to 2 months




## PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES

- From FY1213 to FY1415, the ASERT and STEP programs had higher per participant expenditures than the other JJCPA programs—averaging \$13,800 and \$11,700, respectively
- At the other end of the spectrum were the DCI and TRP programs, with average per participant expenditures of less than \$1,000
- With the exception of the ASERT program, all JJCPA programs had an increase in per participant expenditures over the 3-year period
- Although the ASERT program had a significant drop in expenditures in FY1314, expenditures increased the following year, with the program landing again at the top at an average cost of \$14,200 per participant



## ARREST RATE - PROGRAM GROUP

- Between FY1213 and FY1415, half of the eight programs—ASERT, JDC, NYRC and CYRC—had an average arrest rate above 50%
- During that same period, the arrest rate for the STEP program averaged nearly 44%, while the DCI, TRP and SMART programs had average arrest rates of less than 20%



## ARREST RATE - PROGRAM GROUP VS. REFERENCE GROUP

- Arrest rates for participants in the NYRC and CYRC programs fell below reference group rates between FY1213 and FY1415, while the TRP and JDC program participants had somewhat higher average arrest rates than their reference group counterparts

### Difference in Arrest Rate<sup>1</sup> – Program Group vs. Reference Group

YEAR	ASERT	JDC	STEP	DCI	TRP	SMART	NYRC	CYRC
FY1213	-1.9	26.1	8.6	-0.5	5.7	-6.0	-4.9	-3.8
FY1314	13.0	-13.3	2.2	-0.4	9.1	-0.4	-3.5	-7.9
FY1415	-22.6	9.5	-23.3	0.7	11.4	6.1	-9.6	-3.7

---

<sup>1</sup> Calculated as Program Group Arrest Rate minus Reference Group Arrest Rate


**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - ASERT**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>ASERT</u>
FY1213	-1.9
FY1314	13.0
FY1415	-22.6

**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - JDC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>JDC</u>
FY1213	26.1
FY1314	-13.3
FY1415	9.5


**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - STEP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>STEP</u>
FY1213	8.6
FY1314	2.2
FY1415	-23.3


**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - DCI**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DCI</u>
FY1213	-0.5
FY1314	-0.4
FY1415	0.7

**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - TRP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TRP</u>
FY1213	5.7
FY1314	9.1
FY1415	11.4


**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - SMART**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SMART</u>
FY1213	-6.0
FY1314	-0.4
FY1415	6.1

**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - NYRC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	62.7	59.2	43.3
Reference Group	67.6	62.7	52.9

**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>NYRC</u>
FY1213	-4.9
FY1314	-3.5
FY1415	-9.6

**JJCPA: ARREST RATE - CYRC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




	2013	2014	2015
Program Group	68.1	60.2	56.5
Reference Group	71.9	68.1	60.2

**DIFFERENCE IN ARREST RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>CYRC</u>
FY1213	-3.8
FY1314	-7.9
FY1415	-3.7

## INCARCERATION RATE - PROGRAM GROUP

- From FY1213 to FY1415, the average incarceration rates among ASERT, STEP, NYRC and CYRC program participants were over 50%, which is above those in the other JJCPA programs
- Over the same 3-year period, the average incarceration rate for JDC program participants was 42%, while the TRP, DCI and SMART programs had continually maintained their very low incarceration rates which were each below an average of 8%



## INCARCERATION RATE - PROGRAM GROUP VS. REFERENCE GROUP

- Incarceration rates for participants in the NYRC, CYRC and DCI programs fell below reference group rates between FY1213 and FY1415, while the TRP program participants had a slightly higher average incarceration rates than their reference group counterparts
- During the same time, average program group and reference group incarceration rates were somewhat similar for the SMART program
- Although the ASERT and JDC program groups and reference groups had some fluctuations over the 3-year period, the average incarceration rate for the program group fell slightly below the average for the reference group

### Difference in Incarceration Rate<sup>2</sup> – Program Group vs. Reference Group

YEAR	ASERT	JDC	STEP	DCI	TRP	SMART	NYRC	CYRC
FY1213	4.0	37.6	46.6	-2.6	9.0	1.3	-1.1	-2.8
FY1314	5.9	-37.3	-46.9	-0.9	7.2	-1.5	-4.6	1.5
FY1415	-23.0	-4.6	7.1	-0.2	4.7	1.6	-18.5	-26.3

---

<sup>2</sup> Calculated as Program Group Incarceration Rate minus Reference Group Incarceration Rate


**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - ASERT**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>ASERT</u>
FY1213	4.0
FY1314	5.9
FY1415	-23.0


**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - JDC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>JDC</u>
FY1213	37.6
FY1314	-37.3
FY1415	-4.6


**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - STEP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>STEP</u>
FY1213	46.6
FY1314	-46.9
FY1415	7.1

**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - DCI**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DCI</u>
FY1213	-2.6
FY1314	-0.9
FY1415	-0.2


**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - TRP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TRP</u>
FY1213	9.0
FY1314	7.2
FY1415	4.7


**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - SMART**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SMART</u>
FY1213	1.3
FY1314	-1.5
FY1415	1.6

**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - NYRC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	68.8	64.2	45.7
Reference Group	69.9	68.8	64.2

**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>NYRC</u>
2011	10.1
2012	9.2
FY1213	-1.1
FY1314	-4.6
FY1415	-18.5

**JJCPA: INCARCERATION RATE - CYRC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	64.7	66.2	39.9
Reference Group	67.5	64.7	66.2

**DIFFERENCE IN INCARCERATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>CYRC</u>
2011	7.4
2012	7.5
FY1213	-2.8
FY1314	1.5
FY1415	-26.3

## RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - PROGRAM GROUP

- Compared to the other JJCPA programs, the DCI program had the highest rate of completion of restitution—an average of 77% from FY1213 to FY1415
- During the same time period, an average of 56% of the participants in the JDC program had completely paid restitution, while between 24%-33% in the STEP, NYRC ASERT and CYRC programs had completed their restitution payments



## RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - PROGRAM GROUP VS. REFERENCE GROUP

- From FY1213 to FY1415, the average rate of completion of restitution for the STEP program group was above the average for the reference group—24% as compared to 18%, respectively
- The opposite was found for the JDC, DCI and CYRC programs, with average rates of completion of restitution for the program groups falling slightly below averages of the reference groups
- In general, payment of restitution was about the same for the ASERT and NYRC program groups and reference groups

### Difference in Rate of Completion of Restitution<sup>3</sup> – Program Group vs. Reference Group

YEAR	ASERT	JDC	STEP	DCI	NYRC	CYRC
FY1213	-6.0	23.0	-10.6	-20.3	-0.2	-3.7
FY1314	-3.0	1.2	5.1	-2.2	-7.0	-13.8
FY1415	6.5	-34.6	25.3	13.2	6.0	0.3

---

<sup>3</sup> Calculated as Program Group Rate of Completion of Restitution minus Reference Group Rate of Completion of Restitution


**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - ASERT**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>ASERT</u>
FY1213	-6.0
FY1314	-3.0
FY1415	6.5

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - JDC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>JDC</u>
FY1213	23.0
FY1314	1.2
FY1415	-34.6

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - STEP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>STEP</u>
FY1213	-10.6
FY1314	5.1
FY1415	25.3

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - DCI**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	73.6	71.4	84.6
Reference Group	93.9	73.6	71.4

**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DCI</u>
FY1213	-20.3
FY1314	-2.2
FY1415	13.2

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - NYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group



	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	27.8	20.8	26.8
Reference Group	28.0	27.8	20.8

**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>NYRC</u>
FY1213	-0.2
FY1314	-7.0
FY1415	6.0

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION - CYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	42.4	28.6	28.9
Reference Group	46.1	42.4	28.6

**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>CYRC</u>
FY1213	-3.7
FY1314	-13.8
FY1415	0.3

## RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE - PROGRAM GROUP

- From FY1213 to FY1415, an average of 3 out of 4 DCI program participants completed their court-ordered community service
- In contrast, for the NYRC and CYRC programs, an average of just 6% of participants had completed their community service hours



**RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE - PROGRAM GROUP VS. REFERENCE GROUP**

- From FY1213 to FY1415, the average rate of completion of community service for the DCI program was about the same as the reference group rate
- On average, the rate of completion of community service for NYRC and CYRC program groups were slightly lower than their respective reference groups

**Difference in Rate of Completion of Community Service<sup>4</sup> – Program Group vs. Reference Group**


YEAR	DCI	NYRC	CYRC
FY1213	-0.7	-1.1	-4.8
FY1314	1.9	-2.3	0.5
FY1415	-3.0	-7.0	-5.4

---

<sup>4</sup> Calculated as Program Group Rate of Completion of Community Service minus Reference Group Rate of Completion of Community Service

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE - DCI**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

YEAR	DCI
FY1213	-0.7
FY1314	1.9
FY1415	-3.0

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE - NYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

YEAR	NYRC
FY1213	-1.1
FY1314	-2.3
FY1415	-7.0

**JJCPA: RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE - CYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




**DIFFERENCE IN RATE OF COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY SERVICE**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

YEAR	CYRC
FY1213	-4.8
FY1314	0.5
FY1415	-5.4

## PROBATION VIOLATION RATE - PROGRAM GROUP

- From FY1213 to FY1415, an average of 1 out of 2 participants in each of the ASERT, NYRC and CYRC programs had a probation violation, while the STEP program had an average of 1 out of 3 participants with a probation violation
- As for the JDC and DCI programs, the rate of probation violations was at an average of 28% and 17%, respectively



## PROBATION VIOLATION RATE – PROGRAM GROUP VS. REFERENCE GROUP

- From FY1213 to FY1415, the NYRC and CYRC programs had lower probation violation rates among participants as compared to reference groups individuals
- The average rate for the program group fell slightly below the average for the reference group for the ASERT program, while the average program and reference group rates were about the same for the DCI and STEP programs

### Difference in Probation Violation Rate<sup>5</sup> – Program Group vs. Reference Group


YEAR	ASERT	JDC	STEP	DCI	NYRC	CYRC
FY1213	3.3	-2.4	39.1	15.8	0.2	-2.0
FY1314	13.5	5.3	-0.4	-10.0	-8.7	-13.2
FY1415	-31.2	5.5	-31.1	-1.2	-14.7	-7.8

---

<sup>5</sup> Calculated as Program Group Probation Violation Rate minus Reference Group Probation Violation Rate

**JJCPA: PROBATION VIOLATION RATE - ASERT**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	51.8	65.3	34.1
Reference Group	48.5	51.8	65.3

**DIFFERENCE IN PROBATION VIOLATION RATE**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>ASERT</u>
FY1213	3.3
FY1314	13.5
FY1415	-31.2

**JJCPA: PROBATION VIOLATION RATE - JDC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	11.4	16.7	22.2
Reference Group	13.8	11.4	16.7

**DIFFERENCE IN PROBATION VIOLATION RATE**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>JDC</u>
FY1213	-2.4
FY1314	5.3
FY1415	5.5

**JJCPA: PROBATION VIOLATION RATE - STEP**

Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	46.0	45.6	14.5
Reference Group	6.9	46.0	45.6

**DIFFERENCE IN PROBATION VIOLATION RATE**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>STEP</u>
FY1213	39.1
FY1314	-0.4
FY1415	-31.1

**JJCPA: PROBATION VIOLATION RATE - DCI**

Program Group vs. Reference Group



	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	35.0	25.0	23.8
Reference Group	19.2	35.0	25.0


**DIFFERENCE IN PROBATION VIOLATION RATE**

Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DCI</u>
FY1213	15.8
FY1314	-10.0
FY1415	-1.2

**JJCPA: PROBATION VIOLATION RATE - NYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group



	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	57.4	48.7	34.0
Reference Group	57.2	57.4	48.7

**DIFFERENCE IN PROBATION VIOLATION RATE**

Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>NYRC</u>
FY1213	-0.2
FY1314	-8.7
FY1415	-14.7

**JJCPA: PROBATION VIOLATION RATE - CYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group



	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	58.6	45.4	37.6
Reference Group	60.6	58.6	45.4


**DIFFERENCE IN PROBATION VIOLATION RATE**

Program Group vs. Reference Group

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>CYRC</u>
FY1213	-2.0
FY1314	-13.2
FY1415	-7.8

## COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - PROGRAM GROUP

- With an average of nearly 86% of program participants completing probation, DCI had the best track record of this outcome measure compared to the other JJCPA programs
- An average of 44% of program participants in the JDC program, about 23% in the ASERT and STEP programs and about 8% each in the NYRC and CYRC programs completed probation



## COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - PROGRAM GROUP VS. REFERENCE GROUP

- The average completion of probation rate from FY1213 to FY1415 was about the same for program and reference groups for the DCI, NYRC and CYRC programs
- For the ASERT and JDC programs, the completion of probation rate for program participants was slightly lower than the rate among reference group participants

### Difference in Completion of Probation Rate<sup>6</sup> – Program Group vs. Reference Group

YEAR	ASERT	JDC	STEP	DCI	NYRC	CYRC
FY1213	2.0	13.4	27.1	-4.9	-1.2	-2.5
FY1314	-7.3	13.0	-16.2	6.6	7.4	11.1
FY1415	-9.2	-30.1	-4.6	-0.3	-8.8	-12.1

---

<sup>6</sup> Calculated as Program Group Completion of Probation Rate minus Reference Group Completion of Probation Rate


**JJCPA: COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - ASERT**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>ASERT</u>
FY1213	2.0
FY1314	-7.3
FY1415	-9.2


**JJCPA: COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - JDC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>JDC</u>
FY1213	13.4
FY1314	13.0
FY1415	-30.1

**JJCPA: COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - STEP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




**DIFFERENCE IN COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE**  
*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>STEP</u>
FY1213	27.1
FY1314	-16.2
FY1415	-4.6

**JJCPA: COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - DCI**

Program Group vs. Reference Group



	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	81.4	88.0	87.7
Reference Group	86.3	81.4	88.0


**DIFFERENCE IN COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DCI</u>
FY1213	-4.9
FY1314	6.6
FY1415	-0.3

**JJCPA: COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - NYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group



	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	6.1	13.5	4.7
Reference Group	7.3	6.1	13.5


**DIFFERENCE IN COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>NYRC</u>
FY1213	-1.2
FY1314	7.4
FY1415	-8.8

**JJCPA: COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE - CYRC**

Program Group vs. Reference Group



	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	5.8	16.9	4.8
Reference Group	8.3	5.8	16.9


**DIFFERENCE IN COMPLETION OF PROBATION RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>CYRC</u>
FY1213	-2.5
FY1314	11.1
FY1415	-12.1

## NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - PROGRAM GROUP

- At an average of 49%, the JDC program had a higher percentage of program participants with a new law violation arrest as compared to the other JJCPA programs
- With minor fluctuations across the program years, the DCI and TRP programs had the lowest rates of new law violation arrests, averaging about 8%




**NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - PROGRAM GROUP VS. REFERENCE GROUP**

- The average new law violation arrest rates for the ASERT, TRP, NYRC and CYRC program groups were lower than their reference groups
- Program and reference group new law violation arrest rates were about the same for the DCI program


**Difference in New Law Violation Arrest Rate<sup>7</sup> – Program Group vs. Reference Group**

YEAR	ASERT	JDC	STEP	DCI	TRP	NYRC	CYRC
FY1213	-1.6	29.5	37.7	-0.6	-10.3	-3.3	-6.3
FY1314	5.2	-15.4	-33.6	-0.6	-11.9	6.3	1.3
FY1415	-18.6	7.2	0.1	1.0	-2.5	-24.7	-17.8



<sup>7</sup> Calculated as Program Group New Law Violation Arrest Rate minus Reference Group New Law Violation Arrest Rate

**JJCPA: NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - JDC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	57.1	41.7	48.9
Reference Group	27.6	57.1	41.7

**DIFFERENCE IN NEW VIOLATION ARREST RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>JDC</u>
FY1213	29.5
FY1314	-15.4
FY1415	7.2

**JJCPA: NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - STEP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	48.0	14.4	14.5
Reference Group	10.3	48.0	14.4

**DIFFERENCE IN NEW VIOLATION ARREST RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>STEP</u>
FY1213	37.7
FY1314	-33.6
FY1415	0.1

**JJCPA: NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - DCI**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




	FY1213	FY1314	FY1415
Program Group	9.1	8.5	9.5
Reference Group	9.7	9.1	8.5

**DIFFERENCE IN NEW VIOLATION ARREST RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DCI</u>
FY1213	-0.6
FY1314	-0.6
FY1415	1.0

**JJCPA: NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - TRP**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




**DIFFERENCE IN NEW VIOLATION ARREST RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>TRP</u>
FY1213	-10.3
FY1314	-11.9
FY1415	-2.5

**JJCPA: NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - NYRC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group




**DIFFERENCE IN NEW VIOLATION ARREST RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>NYRC</u>
FY1213	-3.3
FY1314	6.3
FY1415	-24.7

**JJCPA: NEW LAW VIOLATION ARREST RATE - CYRC**  
Program Group vs. Reference Group



**DIFFERENCE IN NEW VIOLATION ARREST RATE**

*Program Group vs. Reference Group*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>CYRC</u>
FY1213	-6.3
FY1314	1.3
FY1415	-17.8

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
All Programs - Summary of Proposed Budget**

	CPA03 ADDICTION, SUBSTANCE ABUSE EDUCATION & RECOGNITION TREATMENT	CPA04 JUVENILE DRUG COURT	CPA07 DECENTRALIZED INTAKE/SHERIFF'S PREVENTION PROGRAM	CPA08 TRUANCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	CPA09 SCHOOL MOBILE ASSESSMENT & RESPONSE TEAM	CPA11 PROGRAMMING FOR GIRLS	CPA12 CENTRAL YOUTH REPORTING CENTER	CPA13 NORTH YOUTH REPORTING CENTER	
FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget									
<i>Total Program Budget:</i>	10,260,560.00	2,790,630.00	782,273.00	719,244.00	672,954.00	823,971.00	1,167,408.00	1,622,718.00	1,681,362.00
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	75.00	26.95	2.71	2.85	1.33	0.05	10.15	15.49	15.49
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	8,483,417.00	3,118,971.00	256,648.00	350,379.00	176,829.00	5,120.00	1,105,993.00	1,763,897.00	1,705,580.00
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	(160,000.00)	(50,000.00)	(10,000.00)	-	-	-	-	(50,000.00)	(50,000.00)
<i>S&amp;S</i>	407,129.00	10,000.00	5,500.00	500.00	6,000.00	-	2,000.00	212,282.00	170,847.00
<i>Cost Apply</i>	2,740,696.00	250,125.00	460,125.00	368,365.00	430,125.00	818,851.00	242,855.00	50,125.00	120,125.00
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	557,250.00	345,500.00	70,000.00	-	60,000.00	-	81,750.00	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	(1,767,932.00)	(883,966.00)	-	-	-	-	(265,190.00)	(353,586.00)	(265,190.00)
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Check

FY 2016-2017 JJCPA Projected Allocation	8,707,639.00	-
Growth Earned in FY 2015-2016 & Paid in "FY 2016-2017	1,540,921.00	-
Unspent PY Allocation/Growth/Interest	12,000.00	
<b>Total Available Funding FY 2016-2017</b>	<b>10,260,560.00</b>	
<b>Over / (Under) Available Funding</b>	<b>-</b>	

	Total	CPA03	CPA04	CPA07	CPA08	CPA09	CPA11	CPA12	CPA13
<i>FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual</i>	9,048,264.93	2,387,149.93	581,097.00	574,363.00	480,292.00	681,499.00	1,256,627.00	1,675,934.00	1,411,303.00
<i>FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget</i>	11,604,745.00	3,544,366.00	802,919.00	731,426.00	529,953.00	958,899.00	1,401,501.00	1,953,697.00	1,681,984.00
<i>FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget</i>	10,260,560.00	2,790,630.00	782,273.00	719,244.00	672,954.00	823,971.00	1,167,408.00	1,622,718.00	1,681,362.00

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
 FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
 ADDICTION, SUBSTANCE ABUSE EDUCATION & RECOGNITION TREATMENT (CPA03 - ASERT)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	2,387,149.93	3,544,366.00	2,790,630.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	27.100	24.985	26.948	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	2,632,179.93	3,012,473.00	3,118,971.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	(115,393.00)	(50,000.00)	(50,000.00)	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	8,612.00	8,000.00	10,000.00	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	237,141.00	255,375.00	250,125.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	262,320.00	345,500.00	345,500.00	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	(637,710.00)	(26,982.00)	(883,966.00)	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
JUVENILE DRUG COURT (CPA04 - JDC)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	581,097.00	802,919.00	782,273.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	3.100	3.348	2.710	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	367,241.00	385,561.00	256,648.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	(76,929.00)	(10,000.00)	(10,000.00)	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	9,629.00	5,500.00	5,500.00	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	276,608.00	351,858.00	460,125.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	4,548.00	70,000.00	70,000.00	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
 FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
 DECENTRALIZED INTAKE/SHERIFF'S PREVENTION PROGRAM (CPA07 - DCI)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	574,363.00	731,426.00	719,244.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	2.800	2.935	2.848	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	258,632.00	372,731.00	350,379.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	-	500.00	500.00	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	315,731.00	358,195.00	368,365.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
 FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
 TRUANCY RESPONSE PROGRAM (CPA08 - TRP)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	480,292.00	529,953.00	672,954.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	1.100	1.338	1.328	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	134,645.00	165,285.00	176,829.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	1,968.00	7,000.00	6,000.00	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	260,723.00	297,668.00	430,125.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	82,956.00	60,000.00	60,000.00	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
 FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
 SCHOOL MOBILE ASSESSMENT & RESPONSE TEAM (CPA09 - SMART)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	681,499.00	958,899.00	823,971.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	0.200	0.135	0.048	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	4,337.00	16,495.00	5,120.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	677,162.00	942,404.00	818,851.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
 FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
 PROGRAMMING FOR GIRLS (CPA11 - ASERT-GIRLS)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	1,256,627.00	1,401,501.00	1,167,408.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	9.050	10.285	10.148	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	963,252.00	1,102,641.00	1,105,993.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	79.00	2,000.00	2,000.00	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	211,546.00	223,205.00	242,855.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	81,750.00	81,750.00	81,750.00	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	-	(8,095.00)	(265,190.00)	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
 FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
 CENTRAL YOUTH REPORTING CENTER (CPA12 - CYRC)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	1,675,934.00	1,953,697.00	1,622,718.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	14.300	15.575	15.488	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	1,548,863.00	1,716,022.00	1,763,897.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	(154,664.00)	(25,000.00)	(50,000.00)	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	247,585.00	209,076.00	212,282.00	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	34,150.00	64,392.00	50,125.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	-	(10,793.00)	(353,586.00)	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
 FY 2016-2017 Planning Budget  
 NORTH YOUTH REPORTING CENTER (CPA13 - NYRC)**

	FY 2014-2015 JJCPA Actual	FY 2015-2016 JJCPA Budget	FY 2016-2017 Proposed JJCPA Budget	Other Funding	Actual
<i>Program Total:</i>	1,411,303.00	1,681,984.00	1,681,362.00	-	-
<i>Check --&gt;</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>FTE</i>	12.300	12.575	15.488	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB</i>	1,297,045.00	1,486,641.00	1,705,580.00	-	-
<i>S&amp;EB Offset</i>	(153,857.00)	(25,000.00)	(50,000.00)	-	-
<i>S&amp;S</i>	159,966.00	164,046.00	170,847.00	-	-
<i>Cost Apply</i>	108,149.00	64,392.00	120,125.00	-	-
<i>Professional Services</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Contracts</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Partner Department Subsidy</i>	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Probation Subsidy</i>	-	(8,095.00)	(265,190.00)	-	-
<i>Miscellaneous</i>	-	-	-	-	-



**STEVEN J. SENTMAN**  
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

TELEPHONE: (714) 569-2000

1055 N. MAIN STREET, 5<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
SANTA ANA, CA 92701

MAILING ADDRESS:  
P.O. BOX 10260  
SANTA ANA, CA 92711-0260

**DATE:** January 13, 2016  
**TO:** Steve Sentman, Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council Chair  
**CC:** Sean Barry, Chief Deputy  
**FROM:** Catherine Stiver, Division Director  
**SUBJECT:** Request to revise funding allocation for JJCPA Program-Truancy Response Program

---

Currently, Juvenile Drug Court and the Truancy Response Program are two of the eight JJCPA funded programs in Orange County. The costs of two full-time Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs) are covered for the Drug Court (DC) Program and one full-time Deputy Probation Officer is funded for the Truancy Response Program. This is a formal request to move the funding (salary and benefits) for one full-time DPO position from Drug Court to the Truancy Response Program. If granted, this would **not** alter the total amount of JJCPA money allocated for DPOs between the two programs.

The justifications for this request are varied. Most significantly, the number of youth participating in these two programs represents a huge disparity.

- Between FY 2010/2011 and FY 2014/2015, the average number of youth participating in Drug Court was 75.6. The DPO caseload in Drug Court can be covered by one full-time DPO.
- During that same time period, the average number of youth participating in the Truancy Response Program was 582.4. The DPO assigned to Truancy Response Program works extensively with school districts, the district attorney, and various community service organizations. The probation department has been subsidizing the program with DPOs from other assignments and a Supervising Probation Officer who acts as the Juvenile Hearing Officer. Many youth and families are provided services by this program. While prevention is difficult to quantify, we do know that youth who are actively engaged in school are less likely to be arrested and formally processed through the juvenile justice system.

In summary, it is requested that one funded full-time DPO position be moved from Juvenile Drug Court to the Truancy Response Program.

Additional information can be provided upon request.

# Application to Boards, Commissions, and Committees

**Directions:** Please answer each question that applies to you as completely as possible.  
 Return completed application to: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
 333 W. Santa Ana Blvd Ste. 465  
 Santa Ana, CA 92701

1. Name:  Ms.  Mrs.  Mr. Hether Benjamin  
First Name Middle Initial Last Name

2. Residence Address: [REDACTED]  
Street Address City State Zip

3. Home Phone Number: [REDACTED]  
Area Code Number

4. How long have you lived at this residence? 14 Year(s) 00 Month(s)

5. Occupation/Title: Director of Youth Development, CSP, Inc.

6. Business Address: [REDACTED] Santa Ana, CA 92705

7. Business Phone Number: [REDACTED]  
Area Code Number

8. Email Address: [REDACTED]

9. Name the Board, Commission, or Committee you are applying for an appointment to:  
Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

10. Are you a citizen of the United States?  Yes  No. Name the Country of citizenship: \_\_\_\_\_

11. Are you a registered voter?  Yes  No. If yes, name County you are registered in: Orange

12. Name the supervisorial district you live in.\*  First  Second  Third  Fourth  Fifth

13. Employment History: Attach resume to this application.

14. Educational History: Should be included in resume

15. List all current professional or community organization and societies of which you are a member

Organization/Society	From (mo./yr.)	To (mo./yr.)
1. _____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____

\*If necessary, call the Clerk of the Board's Office at 714-834-2206 for this information.

16. Within the last five years have you been affiliated with any business or nonprofit agency(ies)  Yes  No
17. Do you own real or personal property or have financial holdings which might present a potential conflict of interest?  Yes  No
18. Have you ever been convicted of any federal, state, county or municipal law, regulation or ordinance, excluding minor traffic violations?  Yes  No If yes, please explain \*\*:

---



---



---

19. Are you currently under federal, state, or local investigation for possible violation of a criminal law or ordinance?  Yes  No If yes, please explain \*\*:

---



---



---

20. Please briefly explain why you wish to serve on this Board, Committee, or Commission. \*\*

I am currently the alternate for Margot R. Carlson, Community Based Organization Representative. In her absence I would like to continue our shared passion for serving children, youth, adults and families in Orange County who are involved with or at risk of involvement with the justice system.

---



---



---

Applicant's Signature

Heather Benjamin  
Signature

Director  
Title

07/30/15  
Date

\*\* Attach additional sheets if necessary

**Hether Benjamin, LMFT 41782**  
Community Service Programs, Inc. ~ Licensed Director  
[REDACTED] Santa Ana, CA 92705

Phone [REDACTED] Fax [REDACTED]

---

---

### **HIGHLIGHT OF PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL SKILLS**

- Trained in Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Motivational Interviewing and Medi-Cal Documentation Procedures
- Over 18 years of professional experience providing and/or managing prevention and counseling services for children, adolescents and families in school, nonprofit and community-based settings.
- Broad clinical and administrative supervisory experience with a variety of populations and programs.
- Optimistic, pro-active, creative, and self-motivated as a facilitator, trainer, manager and counselor.
- Grant-writing, professional report writing, budgeting and program/project management experience.
- Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist, Board of Behavioral Science, since March 2005

---

### **EDUCATION**

**Master of Arts, Psychology**  
**Emphasis in Marriage Family, Child Counseling**  
Chapman University Orange, CA

**Degree achieved January 1997**

**B.A., Psychology (Minor: Sociology)**  
California State University, Long Beach, Long Beach, CA

**Degree achieved December 1992**

---

### **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

**Community Service Programs, Inc.**  
**Director of Youth Development Programs**

**Santa Ana, California**  
**July 2007 – Present**

- Oversees all aspects of the Juvenile Justice involved youth programs
- Develops policies and procedures for program and agency
- Direct liaison between Program, Health Care Agency, Social Services Agency, Collaborative Courts and Probation
- Oversees data collection systems and performance outcome measures
- Program development
- Develops and ensures adherence to budget
- Assumes responsibility for contract commitments
- Oversees personnel issues
- Grant and report writing
- Provides overall oversight of administrative and clinical supervision of program and services
- Member of agency Executive Management Team and agency Privacy Officer

#### **Program Director**

**Juvenile Diversion and Gang Reduction Intervention Partnership**

**May 2005 – July 2007**

- Oversee overall program administration including recruiting, hiring, supervising and training of staff and interns
- Oversees service delivery systems; maintenance of case files; statistical reports; fee collection, program expenditures and check disbursement;

- Grant and report writing
- Agency liaison and community outreach
- Member of agency management team

**Foster Family Network  
Regional Director**

**Buena Park, CA  
March 1997-May 2005**

- Develop Agency Program Statement, maintain compliance with County and State contracts and prepare for audits.
- Maintain statistical information for growth and budgetary development
- Agency liaison for several foster care, County and State forums
- Supervise, hire, train 25 staff including supervisors, social workers and office support staff that provide case management to 100 foster families
- Development of therapeutic treatment plans, quarterly progress reports, community referrals, monitoring visitation and crisis intervention.
- Coordinator of foster care placements
- Conduct clinical interviews and home evaluations
- Teach parent education classes
- Design and implement print ad campaign to recruit foster parents
- Promotion History: Social Worker 1997-98, Assistant Supervisor 1998-2000, Recruitment and Intake Supervisor 2000-2002, Regional Director 2002-present

**Chapman University Community Clinic  
Counselor Trainee**

**Orange, CA  
Jan 1996 to Feb1997**

- Counseling of individuals, couples, families and administration and interpretation of psychological tests.

**House of Imports, Mercedes Benz  
Accounting Supervisor**

**Buena Park, CA  
Dec1995 to Feb1997**

- Supervisor of Accounts Payable and Receivable, Receipts and Service Cashier departments
- Maintained fixed asset schedule, journal entries, bank reconciliation, processing sales and purchase orders, invoicing/credit/debit memos, filing of sales and use tax, inventory analysis of product line